Blind sectional image reconstruction for optical scanning holography
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Optical scanning holography is a powerful holographic recording technique in which only a single two-dimensional scan is needed to record three-dimensional information. As in standard digital holography, for the reconstruction of a sectional image, the resulting data must then be postprocessed to obtain sectional content. We propose a blind sectional image reconstruction technique to automate the data processing. This reconstruction uses edge information to determine the appropriate Fresnel zone plates automatically and applies inverse imaging to recover the sectional images with significant suppression of the defocus noise. The experimental data used to verify the algorithm are measured from a physical implementation of the optical scanning holography system. © 2009 Optical Society of America
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Optical scanning holography (OSH) compresses the 3D intensity information of an object into a 2D hologram [1, 2]. To interpret the compressed information, we need to reconstruct sectional images [3, 4]. The conventional convolution method, Wiener filter, and Wigner distribution function have been employed to reconstruct the sectional images with some success in the rejection of defocus noise [5–7]. Recently we proposed an inverse imaging method and demonstrated its advantages for suppressing defocus noise and in reconstruction speed [8].

The existing inverse imaging technique, however, requires a priori knowledge of the Fresnel zone plates (FZPs) to correlate with the hologram to extract the desired sectional images. To achieve the reconstruction automatically, we propose a blind sectional image reconstruction algorithm that estimates the FZPs and performs the corresponding reconstruction to obtain sectional images with the rejection of defocus noise. The blind sectional image proposed here is also applicable to phase-shifting digital holography [9].

Given an object intensity \( I_0(x, y, z) \), its complex hologram generated by OSH can be represented as [8]

\[
H(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} I_0(x, y, z_i) * \text{FZP}(x, y, z_i),
\]

where \( \text{FZP}(x, y, z_i) = \exp(-(\pi N A^2 z_i^2 + j \pi \lambda / L) (x^2 + y^2)) \) stands for the Gaussian-apodized FZP at \( z_i \). The symbol \( * \) denotes 2D convolution, and \( N \) stands for the numerical aperture of the Gaussian function; \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the laser used to record the hologram.

Algebraically, the convolution operations can be replaced with a matrix multiplication using a lexicographical ordering [8]. We therefore convert \( H(x, y) \) into the length-\( N^2 \) vector, \( y \), given that the hologram is of size \( N \times N \). Also \( I_0(x, y, z) \) will be converted into vectors and denoted \( \psi_i \). Meanwhile, we form \( N^2 \times N^2 \) matrices, \( M_i \), using values from \( \text{FZP}(x, y, z_i) \). Then Eq. (1) can be derived as

\[
\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{n} M_i \psi_i = M \psi,
\]

where \( M = [M_0 \ldots M_n] \) and \( \psi = [\psi_0^T \ldots \psi_n^T]^T \). In Eq. (2), \( M \) encapsulates the entire holographic recording process of the OSH system. Using the observed hologram \( \gamma \) to derive the scanned object \( \psi \) is an inverse problem. We solve it by the conjugate gradient method with nonnegativity constraint [8, 10].

The computation of such an inverse problem depends on the matrix, \( M \). However, in reality we cannot know its exact content. The recovery of \( \psi \) from \( M \) when \( M \) is not known is a blind recovery problem [11]. While in some cases the blind system is derived concurrent with the estimation of the unknown signal [12], here \( M \) is generated from the FZPs corresponding to sections to be extracted in a hologram. Thus, an estimate of \( z_i \) can be used to produce the desired FZPs and subsequently \( M \).

The main question therefore is to find the correct depth parameters \( z_i \) \( (i = 0, \ldots, n) \) in the FZPs that correspond to the sectional images to be reconstructed [13]. Our technique is as follows. Suppose we convolve the hologram with the conjugate FZP at \( z_0 \). Using the matrix notation in Eq. (2), we have

\[
M_0^* \gamma = M_0^* M_0 \psi_0 + M_0^* M_1 \psi_1 + \cdots + M_0^* M_n \psi_n.
\]

The first term on the right-hand side is real only, because the elements of the matrix multiplication originating from the convolution, \( \text{FZP}(x, y, z_i) * \text{FZP}^*(x, y, z_0) \), is a \( \delta \) function and \( \psi_0 \) is an intensity function. Therefore \( M_0^* M_0 \psi_0 \) is the desired recon-
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FZPs with the extracted depth parameters are then used in the inverse imaging algorithm [8]. To illustrate the performance, we compare the reconstructed images with those obtained by the conventional convolution method [5]. Shown in Fig. 5 are reconstructed images obtained by the latter method. In the two sectional images, the focused elements (“S” in the first image and “H” in the second one) are clearly reconstructed. We can also observe the defocus noise originating from the interference in sections adjacent to the focused section.

Our blind sectional image reconstruction uses the inverse imaging reconstruction to obtain sectional images. The FZPs computed by the edge detection method are used to render the matrix, M. Shown in Fig. 6 are two recovered sectional images. There is little defocus noise in the reconstructed images, and the focused parts of “S” and “H” are recovered in their respective images. In addition, we also perform the reconstruction on a simulated hologram with five focused sections (data accessible at http://www.eee.hku.hk/isl). The blind sectioning method can also retrieve the right positions for reconstruction.

In conclusion, the blind sectional image reconstruction is proposed to recover sectional images automatically. The reconstruction is verified on real hologram data obtained from an OSH system.
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